

2026. 13 (1)

Asian Journal "STEPPE PANORAMA"



ISSN 2710-3994

ISSN 2710-3994 (online)

Құрылтайшысы және баспагері: Қазақстан Республикасы Ғылым және жоғары білім министрлігі Ғылым комитеті Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК

Ғылыми журнал Қазақстан Республикасы Инвестициялар және даму министрлігінің Байланыс, ақпараттандыру және ақпарат комитетінде 2025 ж. 5 сәуірде тіркелген. Тіркеу нөмірі № KZ91VPY00116246. Жылына 6 рет жарияланады (электронды нұсқада).

Журналда тарих ғылымының *келесі бағыттары* бойынша ғылыми жұмыстар жарияланады: тарих, этнология.

Жарияланым тілдері: қазақ, орыс, ағылшын.

Редакция мен баспаның мекен-жайы:

050010 Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28-үй

ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒК Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК

Тел.: +7 (727) 261-67-19, +7 (727) 272-47-59

Журнал сайты: <https://ajspiie.com>

© Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы
Тарих және этнология институты 2026
© Авторлар ұжымы, 2026

БАС РЕДАКТОР

Қабылдинов Зиябек Ермұқанұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒКШ.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының бас директоры. (Қазақстан)

РЕДАКЦИЯЛЫҚ АЛҚА

Аутрам Алан (Outram Alan) — археология ғылымдарының докторы, Эксетер университеті, тарих және археология кафедрасының профессоры. (Ұлыбритания)

Аширов Адхамжон Азимбаевич — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Өзбекстан Республикасы Ғылым академиясының Тарих институтының Этнология және антропология орталығының меңгерушісі. (Өзбекстан)

Әбіл Еркін Аманжолұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР Парламенті Мәжілісінің депутаты. (Қазақстан)

Әлімбай Нұрсан — тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, профессор, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының бас ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

Вернер Кунтһиа (Werner Cynthia) — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Техас университеті. (АҚШ).

Дайнер Александр (Diener Alexander) – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор. Канзас университеті. (АҚШ)

Жаркен Айгүл Мәлікқызы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия Ұлттық университетінің профессоры. (Қазақстан)

Көкебаева Гүлжауһар Какенқызы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті. (Қазақстан)

Кригер Виктор (Kriege Viktor) — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, Нюрнбергтегі Бавариялық немістер мәдени орталығының (BKDR) ғылыми қызметкері. (Германия)

Оайон Изабель (Ohayon Isabelle) — тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, профессор, CERCEC директорының орынбасары, Францияның Ұлттық ғылыми зерттеу орталығының (CNRS) қызметкері. (Франция)

Сабурова Татьяна — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Индиана университеті. (АҚШ)

Моррисон Александр (Morrison Alexander) — PhD, Оксфорд университетінің профессоры. (Ұлыбритания)

Мунинов Ашірбек Құрбанұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Ислам тарихы, өнер және мәдениет ғылыми-зерттеу орталығының аға ғылыми қызметкері IRCICA – İslam Tarih, Sanat ve Kültür Araştırma Merkezi. (Түркия)

Римантас Желвис (Želvys Rimantas) — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Вильнюс педагогикалық университеті. (Литва)

Смағұлов Оразақ Смағұлұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Балон ғылым академиясының корр.-мүшесі, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы сыйлықтың лауреаты, ғылым мен техниканың еңбек сіңірген қайраткері, Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің профессоры. (Қазақстан)

Таймағамбетов Жәкен Қожахметұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, ҚР Ұлттық музейі. (Қазақстан)

Томохико Уяма — PhD, Хоккайдо университетінің Славян және Еуразиялық зерттеулер орталығының профессоры (Жапония)

Ян У. Кэмпбелл (Ian W. Campbell) — PhD, Калифорния университетінің профессоры (АҚШ)

ЖАУАПТЫ РЕДАКТОР

Қаипбаева Айнагүл Толғанбайқызы — тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, қауымдастырылған профессор, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының жетекші ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

ҒЫЛЫМИ РЕДАКТОРЛАР

Қапаева Айжан Тоқанқызы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының Бас ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

Кубеев Рустем Жаулыбайұлы — Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

ТЕХНИКАЛЫҚ ХАТШЫ

Бурханов Бимурад Бадритдинұлы — магистр, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

Копеева Сания Жуматайқызы — магистр, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының қызметкері. (Қазақстан).

ISSN 2710-3994 (online)

Учредитель и издатель: РГП на ПХВ «Институт истории и этнологии им.Ч.Ч. Валиханова»
Комитета науки Министерства науки и высшего образования Республики Казахстан

Научный журнал зарегистрирован в Комитете связи, информатизации и информации
Министерства по инвестициям и развитию Республики Казахстан, свидетельство
о регистрации:

№ KZ91VPY00116246 от 03.04.2025 г. Публикуется 6 раз в год (в электронном формате).

В журнале публикуются научные работы *по следующим направлениям* исторической науки:
история, этнология.

Языки публикации: казахский, русский, английский.

Адрес редакции и издательства:

050010 Республика Казахстан, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, д. 28

РГП на ПХВ Институт истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова КН МНВО РК

Тел.: +7 (727) 261-67-19, +7 (727) 272-47-59

Сайт журнала: <https://ajspiie.com>

© Институт истории и этнологии
имени Ч.Ч. Валиханова, 2026
© Коллектив авторов, 2026

ГЛАВНЫЙ РЕДАКТОР

Кабульдинов Зиябек Ермуханович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, генеральный директор Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова КН МНВО РК. (Казахстан)

РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ

Абиль Еркин Аманжолович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, Депутат Мажилиса Парламента РК. (Казахстан)

Алимбай Нурсан — кандидат исторических наук, профессор, главный научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан)

Аутрам Алан (Outram Alan) — доктор археологических наук, профессор департамента археологии и истории Университета Эксетера. (Великобритания)

Аширов Адхамжон Азимбаевич — доктор исторических наук, профессор, заведующий Центром этнологии и антропологии Института истории Академии наук Республики Узбекистан. (Узбекистан)

Вернер Синтия (Werner, Cynthia) — доктор исторических наук, профессор. Техасский университет. (США)

Дайнер Александр (Diener Alexander) — доктор исторических наук, профессор. Канзасский университет (США)

Жаркен Айгуль Маликовна — доктор исторических наук, профессор Евразийского национального университета им. Л.Н. Гумилёва. (Казахстан)

Исмагулов Оразак Исмагулович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, член-корр. Болонской академии наук, лауреат премии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова, заслуженный деятель науки и техники, профессор Евразийского национального университета имени Л.Н. Гумилева. (Казахстан)

Кокебаева Гульжаухар Какеновна — доктор исторических наук, профессор Казахского национального университета имени Аль Фараби. (Казахстан)

Кригер Виктор (Kriege Viktor) — доктор исторических наук, научный сотрудник Баварского культурного центра немцев (BKDR) в Нюрнберге. (Германия)

Моррисон Александр (Morrison Alexander) — PhD, профессор Оксфордского университета. (Великобритания)

Муминов Аширбек Курбанович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, старший научный сотрудник Исследовательского центра исламской истории, искусства и культуры. IRCICA – İslâm Tarih, Sanat ve Kültür Araştırma Merkezi. (Турция)

Оайон Изабель (Ohayon Isabelle) — кандидат исторических наук, профессор, заместитель директора CERCEC, сотрудник Национального центра научных исследований Франции (CNRS). (Франция)

Римантас Желвис (Želvys Rimantas) — доктор педагогических наук, профессор, Вильнюсский педагогический университет. (Литва)

Сабурова Татьяна — доктор исторических наук, профессор, Университет Индианы. (США)

Таймагамбетов Жакен Кожаметович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, Национальный музей РК. (Казахстан)

Томохико Уяма — PhD, профессор Центра славяно-евразийских исследований Хоккайдского университета (Япония)

Ян Кэмпбелл (Ian W. Campbell) — PhD, профессор Калифорнийского университета (США)

ОТВЕТСТВЕННЫЙ РЕДАКТОР

Каипбаева Айнагуль Толганбаевна — кандидат исторических наук, ведущий научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан)

НАУЧНЫЕ РЕДАКТОРЫ

Капаева Айжан Токановна — доктор исторических наук, профессор, главный научный сотрудник Института истории этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан).

Кубеев Рустем Джаулыбайулы — научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан).

ТЕХНИЧЕСКИЙ СЕКРЕТАРЬ

Бурханов Бимурад Бадритдинович — магистр, научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан)

Копеева Сания Жуматаевна — магистр, сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан).

ISSN 2710-3994 (online)

Founder and publisher: RSE on REM "Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology" of the Committee of Science of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan

The scientific journal is registered at the Committee for Communications, Informatization and Information of the Ministry for Investments and Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan, registration certificate: No. KZ91VPY00116246 dated 03.04.2025. The journal is published 6 times a year (in electronic format).

The journal publishes scientific works in the *following areas* of historical science: history, ethnology.

Publication languages: Kazakh, Russian, English.

Editorial and publisher address:

28 Shevchenko Str., 050010, Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan

RSE on REM Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology CS MSHE of the Republic of Kazakhstan

Tel.: +7 (727) 261-67-19, +7 (727) 272-47-59

Journal website: <https://ajspiie.com>

© Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute
of History and Ethnology, 2026
© Group of authors, 2026

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Kabuldinov Ziabek Ermukhanovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, General Director of Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology SC MSHE RK. (Kazakhstan)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Abil Yerkin Amanzholovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Member of the Mazhilis of the Parliament of the RK. (Kazakhstan)

Alimbay Nursan — Candidate of Historical Sciences, Professor, Chief Researcher at the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

Azimqulov Javohir — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Head of the Center for Ethnology and Anthropology at the Institute of History, Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (Uzbekistan)

Diener Alexander — Doctor of Political Science, Professor, University of Kansas. (USA)

Ian W. Campbell — PhD, Professor at the University of California (USA)

Ismagulov Orazak Ismagulovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Corresponding Member of Bologna Academy of Sciences, winner of Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Award, Honored Worker of Science and Technology, Professor of L.N. Gumilyov University. (Kazakhstan)

Kokebayeva Gulzhaukhar Kakenovna — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor at the Al Farabi Kazakh National University. (Kazakhstan)

Kriege Viktor — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Researcher at the Bavarian Cultural Center of Germans (BKDR) in Nuremberg. (Germany)

Morrison Alexander — PhD, Professor, University of Oxford. (UK)

Muminov Ashirbek Kurbanovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Senior Researcher at the Research Center for Islamic History, Art and Culture. IRCICA (İslâm Tarih, Sanat ve Kültür Araştırma Merkezi). (Turkey)

Ohayon Isabelle — Candidate of Historical Sciences, Professor, Deputy Director of CERCEC, Researcher at the French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS). (France)

Outram Alan — Doctor of Archaeological Sciences, Professor in the Department of Archaeology and History at University of Exeter. (Britain)

Rimantas Želvys — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Vilnius Pedagogical University. (Lithuania)

Saburova Tatiana — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Indiana University. (USA)

Taimagambetov Zhaken Kozhakhmetovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, National Museum of the Republic of Kazakhstan. (Kazakhstan)

Werner, Cynthia — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Texas university. (USA)

Tomohiko Uyama — PhD, Professor at the Slavic-Eurasian Research Center, Hokkaido University. (Sapporo, Japan)

Zharken Aigul — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor at L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University. (Kazakhstan)

EXECUTIVE EDITOR

Kaipbayeva Ainagul Tolganbayevna — Candidate of Historical Sciences, leading researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology (Kazakhstan).

ACADEMIC EDITOR

Kapaeva Aizhan Tokanovna — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Chief Researcher at the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

Kubeyev Rustem Dzhaulbayuly — researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

TECHNICAL SECRETARY

Burkhanov Bimurad Badritdinovich — Master's, researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

Kopeyeva Saniya Zhumataevna — Master's, researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

ТАРИХ / ИСТОРИЯ / HISTORY

Published in the Republic of Kazakhstan
Asian Journal “Steppe Panorama”
Has been issued as a journal since 2014
ISSN 2710-3994.
Vol. 13. Is. 1, pp. 225-240, 2026
Journal homepage: <https://ajspiie.com>

FTAXP / МПНТИ / IRSTI 03.20
https://doi.org/10.51943/2710-3994_2026_13_1_225-240

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROCESSES IN THE CITIES OF EAST KAZAKHSTAN IN THE 90s OF THE XXth CENTURY (BASED ON THE EXAMPLE OF UST-KAMENOGORSK AND SEMIPALATINSK)

*Sarsembayeva Gulzhan Alibekovna*¹, *Zhailaubayeva Aida Nurlanovna*², *Ualtayeva Altyn Slyamkaydarovna*^{3*}

¹S. Amanzholov East Kazakhstan University
(34, 30 Gvardeyskoy Divizii Str., 070000 Ust-Kamenogorsk, Republic of Kazakhstan)
Candidate of Historical Sciences, Associate Professor
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9081-0191>. E-mail: Gulzhan1974@mail.ru

²S. Amanzholov East Kazakhstan University
(34, 30 Gvardeyskoy Divizii Str., 070000 Ust-Kamenogorsk, Republic of Kazakhstan)
Master's Student, Junior Researcher
 <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1379-0987>. E-mail: aida.zhailaubayeva@mail.ru

³Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology, “Gylym Ordasy” center
(28, Shevchenko Str., 050010 Almaty, Kazakhstan)
Candidate of Historical Sciences, Associate Professor, Academician of the IAIS
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9530-352X>. E-mail: gilimorda2@gmail.com

*Corresponding author

© Valikhanov IHE, 2026

© Sarsembayeva G., Zhailaubayeva A., Ualtayeva A., 2026

Abstract. Introduction. The relevance of the article stems from its examination of the key socio-demographic processes that occurred in the East Kazakhstan cities of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk in the 1990s. Primary attention is given to the population dynamics, migration patterns, and changes in the ethnic and age-sex structures. Concurrently, the authors investigate the impact of the economic collapse and ecological legacy (particularly the Semipalatinsk Test Site activities) on birth rates, mortality, and migration. The study utilizes data from the Republic of Kazakhstan Population Censuses of 1989 and 1999, regional statistical compilations, and academic research. The main trends identified are: population decline, a negative net migration balance, and accelerated demographic aging. Recommendations for the region's demographic and social policy are provided. The 1990s became a period of radical transformation in the political, economic, and social spheres of Kazakhstan, profoundly affecting all regions of the country. East Kazakhstan, with its developed industrial potential, high degree of urbanization, and multinational population, was among the territories most severely affected by the transition from a planned to a market economy. The cities of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk served as unique socio-demographic laboratories where the key tendencies of the post-Soviet transition period manifested: changes in population size and structure, a sharp increase in migration flows, social differentiation, and shifts in cultural orientations. Amidst a general economic downturn, the severance of economic ties with former Soviet republics, inflation, unemployment, and state policy uncertainty, the cities of the region experienced significant

pressure on both their social infrastructure and demographic processes. The outflow of population, especially the Russian-speaking and skilled engineering and technical personnel, became one of the most notable phenomena of the decade. Simultaneously, internal migration intensified—an influx of rural residents, particularly ethnic Kazakhs, in search of new employment and upward social mobility. East Kazakhstan emerged as a region where the socio-demographic crisis coincided with a search for new forms of urban identity, and population dynamics reflected not only economic but also cultural and ethnic transformations of society. Ust-Kamenogorsk, as a major industrial center for metallurgy, and Semipalatinsk, as a city with a unique history and the traumatic legacy of the Semipalatinsk Nuclear Test Site, demonstrated distinct yet mutually influencing models of social adaptation to the new condition. *Goals and objectives* are to provide a comprehensive analysis of socio-demographic processes that occurred in the East Kazakhstan cities of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk in the 1990s, with the goal of identifying the peculiarities of demographic dynamics, migration flows, social structure transformation, and the factors that determined changes in the lifestyle and identity of the urban population under post-Soviet reforms. *Results*. Data from the 1989 and 1999 population censuses of the Republic of Kazakhstan, regional statistical yearbooks, and scholarly research were used. The main trends identified include a decline in population size, a negative migration balance, and accelerated population aging. Recommendations on the region’s demographic and social policy are provided. *Conclusions*. The 1990s became a difficult period in the lives of the cities of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk, characterized by negative dynamics in such demographic processes as population renewal and migration. The crisis of the 1990s affected not only demographic indicators but also the socio-economic and professional spheres of urban life. The socio-demographic dynamics of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk in the 1990s reflect the transition from the Soviet model of an urban industrial community to a post-Soviet society with new forms of identity, social mobility, and survival strategies. Despite the severe crisis backdrop, this period was fundamental to the formation of the modern appearance of East Kazakhstan’s cities.

Keywords: East Kazakhstan, Ust-Kamenogorsk, Semipalatinsk, 1990s, demographic processes, migration, urbanization, social structure, post-Soviet transformation, regional identity

Acknowledgments. This article was written within the framework of the project “East Kazakhstan: Trajectories of Socio-Demographic and Socio-Cultural Transformation of the Urban Space of Semey and Ust-Kamenogorsk” (AP26198933) by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

For citation: Sarsembayeva G., Zhailaubayeva A., Ualtayeva A. Socio-demographic processes in the cities of east Kazakhstan in the 90s of the XXth century (based on the example of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk)// Asian Journal “Steppe Panorama”. 2026. Vol. 13. No. 1. Pp. 225-240. (In Eng.). DOI: 10.51943/2710-3994_2026_13_1_225-240

XX ҒАСЫРДЫҢ 90-Ы ЖЫЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ ШЫҒЫС ҚАЗАҚСТАН ҚАЛАЛАРЫНДАҒЫ ӘЛЕУМЕТТІК-ДЕМОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ ПРОЦЕСТЕР (ӨСКЕМЕН МЕН СЕМЕЙ МЫСАЛЫНДА)

Сарсембаева Гульжан Алибековна¹, Жайлаубаева Аида Нурлановна², Уалтаева Алтын Слямкайдаровна^{3*}

¹С. Аманжолов атындағы Шығыс Қазақстан университеті
(34-үй, 30 Гвардиялық дивизия көш., 070000 Өскемен, Қазақстан Республикасы)
Тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, қауымдастырылған профессор
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9081-0191>. E-mail: Gulzhan1974@mail.ru

²С. Аманжолов атындағы Шығыс Қазақстан университеті
(34-үй, 30 Гвардиялық дивизия көш., 070000 Өскемен, Қазақстан Республикасы)
Магистр, кіші ғылыми қызметкер
 <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1379-0987>. E-mail: aida.zhailaubayeva@mail.ru

³Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты, «Ғылым Ордасы» орталығы (28-үй, Шевченко көш., 050010, Алматы, Қазақстан)

тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, қауымдастырылған профессор, ХАА академигі

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9530-352X>. E-mail: gilimorda2@gmail.com

*Автор-корреспондент

© Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы ТАЭ, 2026

© Сарсембаева Г.А., Жайлаубаева А.Н., Уалтаева А.С., 2026

Андатпа. *Кіріспе. Тақырыптың өзектілігі* – мақалада ХХ ғасырдың 1990–жылдары Шығыс Қазақстан қалаларында – Өскемен мен Семейде – орын алған негізгі әлеуметтік–демографиялық үдерістер қарастырылады. Зерттеуде халық саны динамикасына, көші–қон процестеріне, этникалық, жас–жыныстық құрылымдағы өзгерістерге басты назар аударылған. Экономикалық күйреу мен экологиялық жағдай (әсіресе Семей ядролық полигонының әсері) туу көрсеткішіне, өлім–жітімге және көші–қонға ықпалы зерттеледі. Қазақстан Республикасы халық санағының 1989 және 1999 жылдардағы деректері, өңірлік статистикалық жинақтар мен ғылыми зерттеулер пайдаланылған. халық санының азаюы, көші–қон теңгерімнің теріс болуы, халықтың жедел қартаюуы сияқты негізгі үрдістер анықталған. Өңірдің демографиялық және әлеуметтік саясаты бойынша ұсыныстар берілген. ХХ ғасырдың 1990–жылдары Қазақстанның саяси, экономикалық және әлеуметтік салаларында радикалды өзгерістер жүріп, оның әсері елдің барлық өңірлеріне таралды. Индустриялық әлеуеті жоғары, урбанизация деңгейі биік және көпұлтты құрылымымен ерекшеленетін Шығыс Қазақстан жоспарлы экономикадан нарықтық жүйеге өтудің қиындықтарын ерекше өткір сезінді. Өскемен мен Семей қалалары посткеңестік өтпелі кезеңнің негізгі үрдістері айқын көрінген өзіндік әлеуметтік–демографиялық «зертханаларға» айналды: халық санының және құрылымының өзгеруі, миграциялық ағымдардың күрт артуы, әлеуметтік жіктелу мен мәдени бағдарлардың түрленуі. Экономикалық құлдырау, бұрынғы одақтас республикалармен шаруашылық байланыстардың үзілуі, инфляция, жұмыссыздық және мемлекеттік саясаттың тұрақсыздығы жағдайында аймақ қалалары әлеуметтік инфрақұрылым мен демографиялық жүйеге айтарлықтай қысым көрді. Әсіресе орыс тілді және жоғары білікті инженерлік–техникалық мамандардың жаппай көшіп кетуі онжылдықтың ең айқын құбылыстарының бірі болды. Сонымен қатар ауылдық аймақтардан, әсіресе қазақтар тарапынан, жаңа жұмыс мүмкіндіктерін және әлеуметтік көтерілуді іздеген ішкі көші–қон күшейді. Шығыс Қазақстанда әлеуметтік–демографиялық дағдарыс урбанистік өзіндік болмыстың жаңа формаларын іздеумен қатар жүрді, ал халық динамикасы экономикалық қана емес, мәдени–этникалық трансформацияларды да айқын көрсетті. Металлургияның ірі индустриялық орталығы Өскемен мен ерекше тарихи жүкке, соның ішінде Семей ядролық полигонының ауыр мұрасына ие Семей қаласы жаңа жағдайларға бейімделудің әртүрлі, бірақ өзара байланысты модельдерін ұсынды. *Зерттеудің мақсаты* – Шығыс Қазақстан қалаларында – Өскемен мен Семейде – ХХ ғасырдың 1990–жылдары болған әлеуметтік–демографиялық үдерістерді кешенді түрде зерттеу, демографиялық динамиканың ерекшеліктерін, көші–қон ағымдарын, әлеуметтік құрылымдағы өзгерістерді және посткеңестік реформалар жағдайында қалалық тұрғындардың өмір салты мен өзіндік болмысына ықпал еткен факторларды анықтау. Қазақстан Республикасының 1989 және 1999 жылдардағы халық санағы деректері, аймақтық статистикалық жинақтар, ғылыми зерттеулер пайдаланылды. Халықтың азаюы, көші–қонның теріс сальдосы және халықтың қартаюуының жылдамдауы – негізгі тенденциялар анықталған. Өңірдегі демографиялық және әлеуметтік саясат бойынша ұсыныстар берілген. *Қорытындылар.* 1990 жылдар Өскемен және Семей қалаларының өміріндегі халықтың ұдайы өндірісі мен көші–қоны сияқты демографиялық процестердің теріс динамикасымен сипатталатын күрделі кезең болды. 1990 жылдардағы дағдарыс демографиялық көрсеткіштерге ғана емес, қала өмірінің әлеуметтік–экономикалық және кәсіби салаларына да әсер етті. 1990–жылдары Өскемен мен Семей қалаларындағы әлеуметтік–демографиялық

динамика кеңестік индустриялық қалалық модельден жаңа идентичтік формалары, әлеуметтік мобильділік және өмір сүру стратегиялары бар посткеңестік қоғамға өтуді көрсетеді. Қиын дағдарыс кезеңіне қарамастан, дәл осы жылдар Шығыс Қазақстан қалаларының қазіргі бейнесінің қалыптасуына негіз болды.

Түйін сөздер: Шығыс Қазақстан, Өскемен, Семей, 1990–жылдар, демографиялық үдерістер, көші–қон, урбанизация, әлеуметтік құрылым, посткеңестік трансформация, өңірлік бірегейлік
Алғыс. Мақала Қазақстан Республикасы Жоғары білім және ғылым министрлігінің «Шығыс Қазақстан: Семей және Өскемен қалаларының қалалық кеңістігінің әлеуметтік-демографиялық және әлеуметтік-мәдени трансформация траекториялары» (AP26198933) жобасы аясында жазылған.

Дәйексөз үшін: Сарсембаева Г.А., Жайлаубаева А.Н., Уалтаева А.С. XX ғасырдың 90-ы жылдарындағы шығыс Қазақстан қалаларындағы әлеуметтік–демографиялық процестер (Өскемен мен Семей мысалында) // Asian Journal “Steppe Panorama”. 2026. Т. 13. № 1. 225-240 бб. (Ағылш.). DOI: 10.51943/2710-3994_2026_13_1_225-240

СОЦИАЛЬНО–ДЕМОГРАФИЧЕСКИЕ ПРОЦЕССЫ В ГОРОДАХ ВОСТОЧНОГО КАЗАХСТАНА В 90–е ГОДЫ XX ВЕКА (НА ПРИМЕРЕ УСТЬ–КАМЕНОГОРСКА И СЕМИПАЛАТИНСКА)

Сарсембаева Гүлжан Алибековна¹, Жайлаубаева Аида Нурлановна², Уалтаева Алтын Слямқайдаровна^{3}*

¹Восточно-Казахстанский университет имени С. Аманжолова
(д. 34, ул. 30 Гвардейской Дивизии, 070000 Усть-Каменогорск, Республика Казахстан)
Кандидат исторических наук, ассоциированный профессор
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9081-0191>. E-mail: Gulzhan1974@mail.ru

²Восточно-Казахстанский университет имени С. Аманжолова
(д. 34, ул. 30 Гвардейской Дивизии, 070000 Усть-Каменогорск, Республика Казахстан)
Магистрант, младший научный сотрудник
 <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1379-0987>. E-mail: aida.zhailaubayeva@mail.ru

³Институт истории и этнологии имени Ч.Ч. Валиханова, центр «Ғылым ордасы»
(д. 28, ул. Шевченко, 050010 Алматы, Республика Казахстан)
кандидат исторических наук, ассоциированный профессор, академик МАИИ
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9530-352X>. E-mail: gilimorda2@gmail.com

*Автор-корреспондент

© ИИЭ им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова, 2026

© Сарсембаева Г.А., Жайлаубаева А.Н., Уалтаева А.С., 2026

Аннотация. *Введение.* Актуальность темы – в статье рассматриваются основные социально–демографические процессы, произошедшие в городах Восточного Казахстана – Усть–Каменогорск и Семипалатинск в 1990–е годы XX века. Основное внимание уделено динамике численности населения, миграционным процессам, изменению этнической и возрастно-половой структур. Период 1990–х годов XX века стал временем радикальных преобразований в политической, экономической и социальной сферах Казахстана, оказав мощное воздействие на все регионы страны. Восточный Казахстан, обладавший развитым промышленным потенциалом, высокой степенью урбанизации и многонациональным населением, оказался в числе территорий, наиболее остро переживавших переход от плановой к рыночной экономике. Города Усть–Каменогорск и Семипалатинск представляли собой своеобразные социально–демографические лаборатории, где проявлялись ключевые тенденции постсоветского переходного периода: изменение численности и структуры населения, резкая активизация

миграционных потоков, социальная дифференциация и изменение культурных ориентиров. В условиях общего экономического спада, разрыва хозяйственных связей с бывшими союзными республиками, инфляции, безработицы и неопределённости государственной политики, города региона испытали значительное давление как на социальную инфраструктуру, так и на демографические процессы. Отток населения, особенно русскоязычного и квалифицированного инженерно–технического состава, стал одним из наиболее заметных явлений десятилетия. Одновременно усилилась внутренняя миграция – приток сельских жителей, особенно казахов, в поисках новых форм занятости и социальных лифтов. Восточный Казахстан оказался регионом, где социально-демографический кризис сочетался с поиском новых форм урбанистической идентичности, а динамика населения отражала не только экономические, но и культурно–этнические трансформации общества. Усть–Каменогорск, как крупный индустриальный центр металлургии, и Семипалатинск, как город с особой историей и травматическим наследием Семипалатинского ядерного полигона, демонстрировали разные, но взаимосвязанные модели социальной адаптации к новым условиям. *Цель исследования* – комплексное изучение социально-демографических процессов, происходивших в городах Восточного Казахстана – Усть–Каменогорске и Семипалатинске – в 1990–е годы XX века, с целью выявления особенностей демографической динамики, миграционных потоков, трансформации социальной структуры и факторов, определивших изменения в образе жизни и идентичности городского населения в условиях постсоветских реформ. Используются данные переписей населения Республики Казахстан 1989 и 1999 годов, региональные статистические сборники и научные исследования. *Результаты.* Выявлены основные тенденции: снижение численности населения, отрицательный миграционный баланс, опережающее старение населения. Даны рекомендации по демографической и социальной политике региона. *Выводы.* 1990-е годы стали трудным периодом в жизни городов Усть–Каменогорск и Семипалатинск, характеризующимся отрицательной динамикой в таких демографических процессах, как воспроизводство населения и миграции. Кризис 1990-х годов повлиял не только на демографические показатели, но также затронул социально-экономическую, профессиональную сферу жизни городов. Социально-демографическая динамика Усть–Каменогорска и Семипалатинска в 1990-е годы отражает переход от советской модели городской индустриальной общности к постсоветскому обществу с новыми формами идентичности, социальной мобильности и стратегий выживания. Несмотря на тяжёлый кризисный фон, именно этот период стал основой формирования современного облика городов Восточного Казахстана.

Ключевые слова: Восточный Казахстан, Усть–Каменогорск, Семипалатинск, 1990–е годы, демографические процессы, миграция, урбанизация, социальная структура, постсоветская трансформация, региональная идентичность

Благодарность. Статья написана в рамках проекта «Восток Казахстана: траектории социально–демографической и социокультурной трансформации городского пространства Семей и Усть–Каменогорска» (AP26198933) Министерства науки и высшего образования Республики Казахстан.

Для цитирования: Сарсембаева Г.А., Жайлаубаева А.Н., Уалтаева А.С. Социально-демографические процессы в городах восточного Казахстана в 90-е годы XX века (на примере Усть–Каменогорска и Семипалатинска) // Asian Journal “Steppe Panorama”. 2026. Т. 13. № 1. С. 225-240. (На Англ.). DOI: 10.51943/2710-3994_2026_13_1_225-240

Introduction

The last decade of the 20th century was one of the most complex and contradictory periods in the history of Kazakhstan and the entire post-Soviet space. The collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 led to radical transformations in all spheres of public life: political, economic, cultural, and, unequivocally, demographic. The changes of that era affected not only the structure of governance and economic mechanisms but also the social fabric, the settlement system, migration flows, birth and death rates, and the ethnic composition of the population. These processes were especially acute

in the industrial and multinational cities of East Kazakhstan – Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk – which served as major centers of industry, science, and culture in the region. In the present study, the authors use the former name of the city, Semipalatinsk, since its renaming to Semey took place later, in 2007.

The transition to market relations, accompanied by the disintegration of the former economic system, mass unemployment, and a decline in living standards, provoked significant social upheaval. For East Kazakhstan, where the economy significantly depended on large industrial enterprises of union subordination, the consequences were particularly severe. Production cutbacks, enterprise closures, and the emigration of skilled personnel were the factors that shaped the region's demographic dynamics in the 1990s.

Equally important were the ethno–political and cultural changes associated with the formation of independent Kazakhstan and the affirmation of a new national identity. These processes were reflected in the changing ethnic structure of the population: a mass exodus of the Russian–speaking population, primarily to Russia, and the return of ethnic Kazakhs from other republics and countries. Such migration flows led to noticeable shifts in the ratio of ethnic groups and the linguistic and cultural space of East Kazakhstan's cities.

Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk represent particularly interesting subjects for research. Both cities held the status of regional industrial and cultural centers, but their development in the 1990s followed different trajectories. Ust–Kamenogorsk, as a major metallurgical center, found itself in a difficult position due to the crisis in non–ferrous metallurgy and the reduction of union orders, yet it retained part of its production potential. Semipalatinsk, in addition to its industrial specialization, was burdened by the consequences of the closure of the Semipalatinsk Nuclear Test Site, which significantly impacted its socio–economic and demographic situation.

The study of socio–demographic processes in these cities allows us to trace not only the general trends of post–Soviet societal transformation but also the regional specificity of the population's adaptation to new socio–economic conditions. Of particular interest is the analysis of changes in population size, migration flows, age–sex structure, birth and death rates, as well as ethnic and social transformations.

The relevance of the research is defined by the need for a comprehensive understanding of the 1990s transition period as a crucial stage in the formation of Kazakhstan's modern social and demographic structure. Despite the existence of some works dedicated to demographic changes in the republic generally, the regional aspect remains insufficiently studied, especially concerning the cities of East Kazakhstan.

The aim of this research is to identify and analyze the main socio–demographic processes that occurred in Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk in the 1990s, to determine their causes, dynamics, and consequences for the further development of the region.

To achieve this aim, the following tasks are set:

1. To examine the general socio–economic conditions in which the cities of East Kazakhstan developed during the period under review.
2. To analyze the demographic dynamics, including indicators of birth rate, mortality, natural increase, and migration.
3. To characterize the changes in the ethnic and social structure of the population.
4. To identify the influence of socio–economic and political factors on demographic processes.

The scientific novelty of the research lies in the complex analysis of socio–demographic processes in two key cities of East Kazakhstan during the 1990s, conducted using statistical data, archival sources, and materials from sociological studies.

Thus, the study is aimed at a deeper understanding of how the social and economic upheavals of the transition period were reflected in the lives of the population in the industrial centers of East Kazakhstan, and what long–term consequences these processes had for the region's contemporary stage of development.

Materials and Methods of Research

The research employed systemic and interdisciplinary approaches, based on combining historical–demographic, sociological, and economic geography analysis. Statistical data from the State Committee on Statistics of Kazakhstan, materials from the 1989 and 1999 population censuses, regional yearbooks, and archival documents of the executive bodies of the East Kazakhstan region were utilized.

The methodological foundation of the research is the system of historical–demographic methods. Methods of comparative analysis and correlation analysis of demographic and economic indicators were applied. These methods were used in conjunction with general scientific methods: analysis, synthesis, and others. Particular attention was paid to East Kazakhstan. The results include tables for assessing the state of demographic processes, accompanied by analytical comments on the essence of the ongoing demographic changes and their socio–economic consequences. These tables allowed for detailing the research process, identifying the most vulnerable aspects of the demographic situation for the eastern region of the country.

In order to achieve the research objectives, a historical-demographic approach was applied. This approach included the analysis of population censuses, official statistical data, and archival materials to trace changes in population size, structure, and migration flows. In addition, it made it possible to identify trends in natural population movement (birth rates and mortality) and changes in the population structure by sex and age. Within this framework, the authors used data from the 1989 and 1999 population censuses and demographic statistics as the main sources of information.

The authors of the article also employed the theory of demographic transition. This classical theory suggests that societies pass through successive stages of declining mortality and fertility as economic and social development progresses. The model is used to interpret changes in fertility, mortality, and migration during transitional periods, such as the 1990s following the collapse of the USSR.

The study is grounded in the theories of urbanization and migration. The Harris–Todaro model explains rural-to-urban migration as an economic choice made by individuals based on expected income and employment opportunities in urban areas. This approach makes it possible to analyze migration flows in detail during the period of economic instability in the 1990s.

Systemic models of urbanization analyze the interaction between rural and urban populations, the logistic growth of cities, and changes in population structure resulting from urbanization.

Discussion

Issues of socio–demographic and socio–economic development of Kazakhstan's cities are presented in various works by Kazakhstani scholars. A number of researchers examine the transformation processes in the country's cities during the transit period—the 1990s. For example, scholars M.A. Asylbekov and V. Kozina, in their monograph "Demographic Processes of Modern Kazakhstan," note that Kazakhstan in the late 1980s and early 1990s experienced a transition period characterized by a decline in natural population growth, a change in the age–sex structure, and a rise in the share of the non–working–age population (Asylbekov, Kozina, 1995). Our study confirms these general trends: Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk registered a decline in increase and population aging, reflecting the republican dynamics, but we simultaneously recorded a more pronounced out–migration specifically from these cities—indicating regional specificity.

The research of Zh.S. Aubakirova emphasizes the significance of migration in shaping Kazakhstan's ethno–cultural structure. The scholar investigates internal migration flows ("village–city") and the emigration of the Russian–speaking population as an important factor in changing the national composition. The researcher highlights that regional trend mirror republican ones but with the intensification of local determinants (Aubakirova, et al., 2023).

A.I. Gizatova, A.M. Zobov, A.N. Sharafutdinova, and M.Yu. Zhumagaliyeva, in their work "Investigation of the Dynamics of Key Indicators of Demographic Development and Age Structure," indicate that the age and sex composition of the population and the share of the working–age population become key indicators of the economic potential of the Eastern region (Gizatova, Zobov,

Sharafutdinova, Zhumagaliyeva, 2025: 33–43). In their work, the scholars focus on the decline in the share of the working-age population and the growth in the share of the elderly and children in Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk.

From a methodological perspective, F.Sh. Kuanganov notes that demographic studies in Kazakhstan require an interdisciplinary approach-combining quantitative and qualitative methodologies and accounting for regional peculiarities (Kuanganov, 2024).

Studies of regional demography (e.g., works by N.V. Alekseenko, et al.) underscore the importance of ecological and industrial factors in the analysis of Kazakhstan's cities. We observe this in the example of Semipalatinsk, where the closure of the nuclear test site and industrial destruction added a specific layer to typical demographic processes, intensifying migration and worsening demographic dynamics compared to other regions.

The presented research allowed for not only identifying the specific socio-demographic processes in Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk but also comparing them with broader trends presented in Kazakhstani academic literature. In this context, the works of A.N. Alekseenko acquire particular significance.

A.N. Alekseenko, in his works, emphasizes that profound demographic shifts occurred in Kazakhstan during the 20th century: increased migration, changes in ethnic composition, and the transformation of urbanization and age structure. For example, in the work “The Population of Kazakhstan 1920–1990” he examines the dynamics of population size and settlement (Alekseenko, 1993).

Our study confirms this general dynamic: in the 1990s, in Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk, we recorded a reduction in population, a change in ethnic structure, and an intensification of migration processes. A.N. Alekseenko notes that the cities of East Kazakhstan demonstrate accelerated demographic changes—they belong to a special ethno-demographic and industrial zone that differs from the republican average in its indicators. The authors of the presented article confirm this thesis: the East Kazakhstan region in the 1990s had a more pronounced natural decline and migration outflow. A.N. Alekseenko, in his works, emphasizes that migration flows, especially external and internal, become a key factor in changing the social and ethnic structure of Kazakhstan. His works on migration and ethno-demography (e.g., “Migration and Ethno-Demographic Processes in the Soviet Period”) demonstrate how migrations influenced the population composition (Alekseenko, 2001: 367–417). The methodological contribution of A.N. Alekseenko allows us to justify the choice of an interdisciplinary approach. He advocates for combining statistical analysis with historical-demographic, ethno-demographic, and infrastructure approaches.

In the presented article, the authors implemented an interdisciplinary approach, which allowed for considering both quantitative indicators (increase, migration, ethnic composition) and qualitative ones (social adaptation, identity, infrastructure).

Results

The cities of East Kazakhstan were major industrial centers during the Soviet period. Ust-Kamenogorsk developed non-ferrous metallurgy, while Semipalatinsk focused on light, food, and construction industries. Ust-Kamenogorsk hosted the lead-zinc and titanium-magnesium complexes and the Ulba Machine-Building Plant. Semipalatinsk featured: a reinforced concrete structure plant, silicate, cement, reinforcing, experimental-mechanical, and brick factories, a bakery, "Kazakhkabel," a meat-processing plant, wood-processing and logging complexes, a glove-knitwear factory, the "Bolshevichka" garment factory, and others. Along with this, these cities were centers of culture, education, and science.

The early 1990s were accompanied by a decline in industrial production and the closure of many enterprises. Semipalatinsk experienced the most severe consequences of the economic crisis. Administrative reforms – the abolition of the regional center and the Semipalatinsk Region – partially contributed to the city's economic degradation. Added to all of the above were the ecological problems associated with the Semipalatinsk Test Site.

Socio-economic and ecological problems contributed to the intensification of migratory outflow from the eastern region and a decline in birth rates during the period under review. Overall, crisis tendencies emerged in demographic processes. Let us examine individual demographic characteristics in more detail.

The demographic situation in East Kazakhstan in the 1990s was characterized by depopulation, a decline in birth rates, and an increase in mortality. While the regional population in 1989 was 1.48 million people, it dropped to 1.38 million by 1999 (Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1999, 2000). Cities experienced a negative natural increase: the number of births decreased almost twofold, and mortality increased due to the deterioration of medical services, stress, alcoholism, and unemployment (Karagusova, 2004). The decline in living standards and social security led to an increase in migratory mobility. Russians, Ukrainians, and Germans, who constituted a significant proportion of the urban population, left particularly intensively (Kozlova, 2002). Migration became the defining factor of demographic changes in the region. Between 1991 and 1999, over 300,000 people left East Kazakhstan, a significant portion of them from the cities (Social Development of Kazakhstan: Statistical Review 1991–1999, 2000). Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk saw a steady outflow of the Russian-speaking population headed for Russia and Germany. The main reasons cited were: economic instability, declining living standards, a feeling of uncertainty, and ethno-political anxiety (Bekzhanova, 2012).

Simultaneously, there was an inflow of rural residents, predominantly Kazakhs from districts within the region and the southern regions of the country, which gradually changed the ethnic structure of the cities. In Ust-Kamenogorsk, the share of Kazakhs grew from 28% in 1989 to 43% in 1999 (Newspaper "Vostochno-Kazakhstanskaya Pravda." Issues 1991–1999). This process was accompanied by the social adaptation of migrants: mastering new professions, engaging in small business, construction, trade, and the growing role of the Kazakh language and culture in the urban environment (Musina, 2006).

The industrial decline and privatization of the 1990s caused a sharp reduction in jobs. By 1998, unemployment reached 14% in Ust-Kamenogorsk and 17% in Semipalatinsk (Stepanova, 2010). Old enterprises were privatized, downsized, or shut down. Women and youth proved to be the most vulnerable categories. Many shifted to the informal sector-petty trade, shuttle business, household services (Yusupov, 1999). Concurrently, social stratification occurred: a portion of the population adapted to market conditions and benefited from privatization, while the majority faced impoverishment and the loss of social stability. According to sociological studies conducted by East Kazakhstan University, over 60% of Ust-Kamenogorsk residents in 1997 rated their financial situation as "below average" (Archive of EKV named after S. Amanzholov, 1997). The social structure of the urban population in the 1990s underwent fundamental changes. The engineering and technical intelligentsia and skilled workers, who formed the core of the Soviet middle class, lost their former status (Poletaev, 2003). They were replaced by new social groups-entrepreneurs, small business owners, traders, security guards, and intermediaries. A new type of urban dweller emerged, oriented toward survival and private initiative. Concurrently, the feminization of employment occurred – women actively entered the service sector and petty trade (Women of Kazakhstan: Statistical Compendium, 2001). Youth, deprived of stable prospects, became more mobile but also a more vulnerable social group.

The ethnic composition of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk changed significantly in the 1990s. According to the 1989 census, the share of Kazakhs in Ust-Kamenogorsk was 28%, Russians 62%, and Germans 5%. By 1999, the proportions changed: Kazakhs 43%, Russians 49%, Germans less than 1% (USSR Population Census 1989: Kazakh SSR, 1990). In Semipalatinsk, the process was even faster: in 1989, Kazakhs accounted for 42%, and by 1999, it was already 58%. Thus, for the first time in the Soviet and post-Soviet periods, Kazakhs became the ethnic majority in both cities (Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1999, 2000). These changes did not lead to conflicts but brought about cultural restructuring: the expansion of Kazakh language use, street renaming, and the strengthening of the role of national holidays and symbols in the urban environment (Khakimova, 2009).

The population decrease and the outflow of skilled personnel had long-term consequences. Many schools, kindergartens, and cultural institutions were closed due to lack of funding and students (Ministry of Education of the RK. Report on the State of the School Network of East Kazakhstan (1994–1999), 2000). The crisis hit Semipalatinsk particularly hard, where the number of research personnel drastically decreased after the closure of the test site. The city lost its status as a major scientific center in the 1990s (Archive of Semey city. F. 215. Documents on Social Development, 1991–1998).

Despite the crisis, the residents of East Kazakhstan demonstrated high adaptability. A common survival strategy was self-employment-market trading, food production, and minor repairs. Labor migration to Russia and the southern regions of Kazakhstan, as well as temporary trips to rural areas for subsidiary farming, developed. In post-Soviet conditions, city dwellers were forced to return to agrarian forms of existence, which researchers defined as the "de-urbanization of survival." At the level of daily practices, a new social experience was formed, based on flexibility, informal networks, and personal initiative.

By the end of the decade, signs of stabilization emerged in the region. After 1997, economic growth, the development of trade, and the start of investments in industry (particularly in "Kaztsink") led to some improvement. Birth rates began to rise slowly, and mortality levels decreased. In Ust-Kamenogorsk, the birth rate coefficient reached 11.5 per 1000 people by 2000, against 9.2 in 1995. However, the consequences of the 1990s were felt for a long time: depopulation, specialist shortages, "brain drain," and social stratification defined the region's specifics in the 2000s.

The comparison shows that both cities experienced similar social trends, but their manifestations differed in scale and consequence. Semipalatinsk faced a deeper social crisis and loss of scientific potential, while Ust-Kamenogorsk managed to partially preserve its industrial base and labor resources.

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk, 1989–1999

Indicator	Ust-Kamenogorsk	Semipalatinsk
Population (1989)	324 thousand	337 thousand
Population (1999)	290 thousand	295 thousand
Share of Kazakhs (1989/1999)	28 % / 43 %	42 % / 58 %
Unemployment (1998)	14 %	17 %
Main Decline Factor	Industrial Crisis	Test Site Closure, Loss of Science
Main Adaptation Mechanism	Small Business, Survival	Migration, Outflow of Intelligentsia

Source: *Population Census of the Kazakh SSR 1989*. – Alma-Ata: Goskomstat KazSSR, 1990; *National Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1999*. – Astana: Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics, 2000. Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics; *Socio-Economic Situation of the Regions of Kazakhstan in the 1990s*. – Astana, 2001. Committee on Statistics of the Ministry of National Economy of the Republic of Kazakhstan; *Labor and Employment of the Population of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Statistical Compendium*. – Astana, 1999; Nysanbaev A.N. *Transformation Processes in the Cities of East Kazakhstan (1989–1999)*. – Almaty: KazNU, 2001; *Semipalatinsk Nuclear Test Site: Consequences of Closure and Socio-Economic Adaptation of the Region*. – Almaty: Institute of Regional Studies, 2000.

Comparative Analysis of Socio-Demographic Processes in Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk in the 1990s

1. General Prerequisites: Both cities—Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk—were among the largest industrial and cultural centers of East Kazakhstan. However, their economic functions and social structure had differences even by the end of the Soviet period:

– Ust-Kamenogorsk: an industrial-metallurgical center with a high level of urbanization and industrial concentration.

– Semipalatinsk: a multifunctional center combining industry, education, and science, and located in the influence zone of the Semipalatinsk Nuclear Test Site (Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1999, 2000).

After 1991, both cities faced the consequences of the collapse of the USSR, but their transformation trajectories differed in depth and direction.

Table 2. Demographic Dynamics of Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk, 1989–1999

Indicator	Ust–Kamenogorsk	Semipalatinsk
Population (1989)	324 thousand people	337 thousand people
Population (1999)	290 thousand people (–10.5%)	295 thousand people (–12.5%)
Natural Increase	Negative since 1993	Negative since 1992
Birth Rate (per 1000 people, 1999)	11.5	12.8
Mortality Rate (per 1000 people, 1999)	14.2	13.9
Migration Balance (1990s)	–35 thousand	–42 thousand

Source: Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics. *Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1989: Results by Regions and Cities*. – Alma–Ata, 1990; Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics. *National Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1999: Results. Volume 1*. – Astana, 2000; Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics. *Demographic Yearbook of Kazakhstan. 1990–2000*. – Astana: Statistics of Kazakhstan, 2001; East Kazakhstan Regional Statistics Office. *Population and Socio–Demographic Processes in the East Kazakhstan Region (1989–1999): Statistical Compendium*. – Ust–Kamenogorsk, 2000; Semipalatinsk City Statistics Office. *Key Socio–Demographic Indicators of Semipalatinsk for 1989–1999*. – Semipalatinsk, 2000; *Regions of Kazakhstan. Statistical Compendium*. – Astana: Agency of the RK on Statistics, 2000.

Both cities experienced depopulation, but the rate of population loss was higher in Semipalatinsk due to migration and the closure of scientific institutions. The natural decline reinforced migration losses.

One of the factors that slowed the development of Semipalatinsk was the loss of its status as a regional administrative center. In 1997, by Decree of the President of the Republic of Kazakhstan No. 3483B «On Further Measures to Improve the Administrative–Territorial Structure of the Republic of Kazakhstan» the Semipalatinsk Region was abolished and its territory was incorporated into the East Kazakhstan Region. As a result, the city of Semipalatinsk (now Semey) lost its status as a regional center and became a city of regional significance, while Ust–Kamenogorsk became the administrative center of the newly unified region. The status of a regional center guarantees cities access to regional budgets, the allocation of federal and republican investments, and priority attention within socio-economic development programs. With the loss of this status, Semipalatinsk was deprived of part of its financial flows and targeted development programs, which slowed the renewal of infrastructure, the improvement of social services (education and healthcare), and the modernization of the urban environment. The loss of administrative functions intensified the already existing demographic and economic problems of the 1990s associated with the general decline in production after the collapse of the USSR and the closure of the Semipalatinsk nuclear test site. The loss of regional center status exacerbated population outflows, especially among young specialists and civil servants, to more promising centers, including Ust–Kamenogorsk and other major cities, leading to the strengthening of depopulation trends characteristic of many regions during the transition period.

2. Migration Processes:

– Ust–Kamenogorsk: the main outflow was the Russian–speaking population (Russians, Ukrainians, Germans) leaving for Russia and Germany. Simultaneously, there was an inflow of rural Kazakhs from the region's districts (East Kazakhstan Region: Statistical Yearbook, 2000).

– Semipalatinsk: migration was more mass–scale. In addition to Russian–speakers, scientific personnel associated with the Semipalatinsk Test Site, research institutes, and defense facilities also left (Kozlova, 2002).

Table 3. Ethnic Composition of Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk, 1989–1999

Ethnos	Ust–Kamenogorsk,	Ust–Kamenogorsk	Semipalatinsk	Semipalatinsk
	1989	1999	1989	1999
Kazakhs	28%	43%	42%	58%
Russians	62%	49%	53%	38%
Germans	5%	<1%	2%	<1%

Source: *Population Census of the Kazakh SSR 1989*. – Alma–Ata: Goskomstat KazSSR, 1990; *National Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1999*. – Astana: Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics, 2000; Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics. *Socio–Economic Situation of the Regions of Kazakhstan in the 1990s*. – Astana, 2001; Committee on Statistics of the Ministry of National Economy of the Republic of Kazakhstan. *Labor and Employment of the Population of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Statistical Compendium*. – Astana, 1999; Nysanbaev A.N. *Transformation Processes in the Cities of East Kazakhstan (1989–1999)*. – Almaty: KazNU, 2001.

In both cities, an ethno-national transformation occurred, accompanied by the "Kazakhization" of the urban environment and the growing role of the Kazakh language. Semipalatinsk changed its ethnic structure faster due to a more intensive outflow of Russian-speakers and the repatriation of kandas (ethnic Kazakh returnees) (Bekzhanova, 2012).

3. Economic and Social Transformation:

– Ust-Kamenogorsk: retained part of its production potential thanks to large enterprises—the Ust-Kamenogorsk Titanium-Magnesium Complex, "Kaztsink," the Hydroelectric Power Plant, etc. In the mid-1990s, some factories came under the control of foreign investors, which helped stabilize the situation (Dyakov, 2008).

– Semipalatinsk: conversely, suffered greater losses after the closure of the nuclear test site (1991), the reduction of military facilities, and research institutes. The unemployment rate reached 17% by 1998, while in Ust-Kamenogorsk, it was about 14% (Stepanova, 2010). Semipalatinsk's economic base proved less adapted to market conditions, which exacerbated social problems.

Table 4. Social Structure and Living Standards of the Population of Ust-Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk, 1989–1999

Social Indicator (1998)	Ust-Kamenogorsk	Semipalatinsk
Registered Unemployment Rate	14%	17%
Share Employed in Industry	39%	28%
Share Employed in Trade and Services	27%	33%
Average Monthly Income (Tenge, 1998)	7,500	6,200

Source: Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics. *Living Standards of the Population of the Republic of Kazakhstan (1995–2012): Statistical Compendium*. – Astana, 2013; *Statistika Kazakhstan. Socio-Demographic Problems of the Population of the Republic of Kazakhstan (1990 – Early 2000s)*. e-history.kz. Published 28.07.2013. E-History. *Socio-Demographic Processes in Kazakhstan: 1990–2000 // Stud.kz*.

Socio-Economic Features:

– Ust-Kamenogorsk maintained an industrial type of employment, forming a layer of skilled workers and engineers.

– Semipalatinsk experienced the process of desocialization of the intelligentsia and a shift to the informal economy.

– In both cities, shuttle business and petty trade actively developed as a means of survival (Musina, 2006).

4. Urban Environment and Culture:

– Ust-Kamenogorsk in the 1990s maintained its status as a regional industrial and cultural center. Despite the decline, theaters, a philharmonic hall, and universities continued to operate, and the S. Amanzholov East Kazakhstan University was established in 1997 (Archive of S. Amanzholov EKV, 1997).

– Semipalatinsk's cultural infrastructure was severely affected by funding cuts and the outflow of specialists. Many scientific and cultural institutions were closed or repurposed. The city faced the loss of its scientific and cultural potential (Newspaper "Vostochno-Kazakhstanskaya Pravda." Issues 1991–1999).

5. Socio-Psychological Aspects:

– Ust-Kamenogorsk's population demonstrated relative resilience and a higher level of social adaptation. Corporate and labor traditions were preserved, and professional communities were active.

– Semipalatinsk, conversely, saw increased feelings of uncertainty and social disorientation: the breakup of former collectives, unemployment, and the decline in the prestige of science caused youth migration and the loss of professional skills (Ministry of Education of the RK. Report on the State of the School Network of East Kazakhstan (1994–1999), 2000).

6. Ethno-Cultural Integration and Language Policy: In both cities, the use of the Kazakh language intensified, especially in state institutions, media, and education. However, the process was uneven:

– Ust-Kamenogorsk retained a strong influence of Russian-speaking culture and press; the city remained a bilingual space.

– Semipalatinsk saw a more active nationalization of the cultural environment: Kazakh schools were opened, television broadcasted programs in Kazakh, and streets were renamed (Khakimova, 2009).

7. Demographic Stabilization by the late 1990s: By the end of the decade, a trend toward stabilization emerged in both cities:

– Ust-Kamenogorsk saw an increase in the birth rate (up to 11.5 %) and a reduction in migration outflow after 1997.

– Semipalatinsk, despite some growth in the birth rate, continued to suffer from the loss of human resources and scientific potential.

In 1999, the population of both cities decreased by approximately 10–12% compared to 1989, but the rate of decline slowed down (Social Development of Kazakhstan: Statistical Review 1991–1999, 2000).

Table 5. Generalized Socio–Economic and Demographic Indicators of Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk

Criterion	Ust–Kamenogorsk	Semipalatinsk
City Type	Industrial–Metallurgical	Scientific–Industrial, former Test Site Center
Main Crisis Factor	Industrial Privatization, Economic Decline	Test Site Closure, Loss of Science, Migration
Demographic Dynamics	Moderate Decline (–10%)	Deeper Decline (–12–13%)
Migration	Ethnic Outflow of Russian–Speakers, Inflow of Kazakhs	Mass Outflow of Scientists and Specialists
Economic Adaptation	Partial recovery thanks to "Kaztsink"	Slow adaptation, dependence on the budget
Cultural Life	Institutional base preserved	Partial fading of cultural functions
Ethno–Linguistic Transformation	Bilingual environment	Predominantly Kazakh–speaking
Recovery Prospects	High (Industry, Investment)	Medium (Humanities and Agrarian Sector)

Source: Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics. Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1989: Results by Regions and Cities. Alma–Ata, 1990; Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics. Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan 1999: Results. Volume 1. Astana, 2000. Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan on Statistics; Republic of Kazakhstan: Statistical Compendium "Socio–Economic Development of the Regions" (1990–2000). Astana: Statistics of Kazakhstan, 2001; Statistics Office of the East Kazakhstan Region. Socio–Economic and Demographic Indicators of the Cities of the East Kazakhstan Region (1990–2000): Statistical Compendium. Ust–Kamenogorsk, 2001; Statistics Office of the East Kazakhstan Region. Semipalatinsk City: Key Socio–Economic and Demographic Characteristics (1989–1999). Semipalatinsk, 2001.

Conclusion

Thus, in the cities of Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk during the 1990s, notable changes occurred in socio-economic and ethno-demographic processes. Primarily, a decline in the urban population took place due to migration outflows and declining birth rates against the background of rising mortality. The ethnic and social structures of these cities also underwent transformation. There was an increase in the number and proportion of the Kazakh population and a decline in the share of the country’s European ethnic groups. The growth of the Kazakh population led to changes in the age structure, specifically an increase in the youth and children’s age cohorts. These processes affected the city of Semey to the greatest extent due to a large influx of rural migrants and the intensification of ruralization of the urban space. The main causes of the above-mentioned changes in the demographic situation of both cities were Kazakhstan’s acquisition of independence and the economic crisis of the 1990s. Among the economic factors were industrial decline, job losses, unemployment, and the deterioration of social conditions. In the 1990s, Ust–Kamenogorsk and Semipalatinsk experienced similar stages of the crisis but adapted differently to the new conditions. Ust–Kamenogorsk, owing to the preservation of its industrial base, demonstrated a more stable demographic and social structure, whereas Semipalatinsk underwent profound deindustrialization and a loss of intellectual potential. In both cities, ethno-cultural transformation, the formation of new migration flows, and the strengthening of Kazakh urban identity were observed. The urban landscape, social relations, subculture, and internal life of the cities were changing. Onomastics and the visual urban space were also transformed, with the appearance of more mosques, ethnic cafés, and restaurants. At present, these cities continue to experience the impact of the socio-economic and demographic changes of the 1990s. However, measures are being taken to restore urban infrastructure and revitalize their economies, which has a positive effect on contemporary socio-economic processes.

Sources

АЕКУ — Archive of S. Amanzholov East Kazakhstan University

Источники

АВКУ — Архив Восточно-Казахстанского университета им. С. Аманжолова

References

- Alekseenko, 1993 — *Alekseenko, A. N.* Naselenie Kazahstana 1920–1990 gg. [The population of Kazakhstan 1920–1990] // A.N. Alekseenko; NAS RK, Institute of History and Ethnology named after Ch. Ch. Valikhanov, East. – Kazakh. Teacher Improvement Institute. Almaty: Gylym, 1993. 125 p. (In Russ.).
- Alekseenko, 2001 — *Alekseenko, A.N.* Migracionnye i jetnodemograficheskie processy v sovetskij period [Migration and ethnodemographic processes in the Soviet period] / A.N. Alekseenko, N.E. Masanov// The history of Kazakhstan: peoples and cultures: a textbook / N.E. Masanov. Almaty: Dyke Press, 2001. pp. 367–417. (In Russ.).
- Asylbekov, Kozina, 1995 — *Asylbekov M.H., Kozina V.V.* Demograficheskie processy sovremennogo Kazahstana [Demographic processes of modern Kazakhstan]. Almaty: Atamura, 1995. 128 p. (In Russ.).
- Documents on social development, 1991–1998. Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan for Statistics. Population census of the Republic of Kazakhstan in 1989: Results by regions and cities [Agentstvo Respubliki Kazahstan po statistike. Perepis' naselenija Respubliki Kazahstan 1989 goda: Itogi po oblastjam i gorodam]. Alma-Ata, 1990. 223 p. (In Russ.).
- Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan for Statistics. The National Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan in 1999: Results. Volume 1. Astana, 2000. 204 p. (In Russ.).
- Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan for Statistics. Demographic Yearbook of Kazakhstan. 1990–2000. Astana: Statistics of Kazakhstan, 2001. 839 p. (In Russ.).
- Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan for Statistics. Republic of Kazakhstan: Statistical collection “Socio-economic development of regions” (1990–2000). – Astana: Statistics of Kazakhstan, 2001. 720 p. (In Russ.).
- Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan for Statistics. Standard of living of the population of the Republic of Kazakhstan (1995–2012): statistical collection. Astana, 2013. 148 p. (In Russ.).
- Aubakirova, et al., 2023 — *Aubakirova Zh.S., Alekseenko A.N., Stolyarova E.O.* et al. Kazahstanskij gorod: social'no-demograficheskij vzgljad [The Kazakh city: a socio-demographic view]. Ust-Kamenogorsk: Media Alliance, 2023. 260 p. (In Russ.).
- Bekzhanova, 2012 — *Bekzhanova S.K.* Jetnodemograficheskaja transformacija Vostochnogo Kazahstana [The ethnodemographic transformation of East Kazakhstan]. Semey, 2012. 127 p. (In Russ.).
- Committee on Statistics of the Ministry of National Economy of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Labor and employment of the population of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Statistical collection. Astana, 1999. 251 p. (In Russ.).
- Dyakov, 2008 — *Dyakov A.N.* Istorija Ust'-Kamenogorska: ot industrial'nogo rosta k rynchym reformam [The history of Ust-Kamenogorsk: from industrial growth to market reforms.]. Ust-Kamenogorsk, 2008. 211 p. (In Russ.).
- Demographic Yearbook of Kazakhstan. 1990–2000 years. Astana: Statistics of Kazakhstan, 2001. 839 p. (In Russ.).
- East Kazakhstan region: Statistical Yearbook, 2000. East Kazakhstan region: statistical yearbook. Ust-Kamenogorsk, 2000. 153 p. (In Russ.).
- East Kazakhstan Regional Department of Statistics. Population and socio-demographic processes in the East Kazakhstan region (1989–1999): Statistical collection. Ust-Kamenogorsk, 2000. 161 p. (In Russ.).
- East Kazakhstan truth. Issues 1991–1999. Pp. 3–7. (In Russ.).
- Gizatova, et al., 2025 — *Gizatova A.I., Zobov A.M., Sharafutdinova A.N., Zhumagalieva M.Yu.* Issledovanie dinamiki osnovnyh pokazatelej demograficheskogo razvitija i vozrastnoj struktury. Statistika, uchet i audit [Study of the dynamics of the main indicators of demographic development and age structure Statistics, accounting and audit]. 2025. 3(98). Pp. 33–43 <https://doi.org/10.51579/1563-2415.2025.-3.03> (In Russ.).
- Karagusova, 2004 — *Karagusova G.T.* Demograficheskaja situacija v Kazahstane v perehodnyj period [Demographic situation in Kazakhstan during the transition period]. Almaty: Institute of Demography, 2004. 245 p. (In Russ.).
- Khakimova, 2009 — *Khakimova L.A.* Gorod i kul'tura v postsovetskij period [The city and culture in the post-Soviet period]. Almaty, 2009. 319 p. (In Russ.).
- Kuangano, 2024 — *Kuanganov F.Sh.* Problemy demograficheskikh issledovanij v Kazahstane [Problems of demographic research in Kazakhstan] // L.N. Gumilev atyndagy Eurasia ulttyk universitetin khabarshysy. Economics of the Series, 2024. 120 p. (In Russ.).
- Kozlova, 2002. — *Kozlova L.I.* Migracionnye processy v Kazahstane 1990–h godov [Migration processes in Kazakhstan in the 1990s]. Almaty: Nauka Publ., 2002. 194 p. (In Russ.).
- Ministry of Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Report on the state of the school network in East Kazakhstan (1994–1999), Astana, 2000. 177 p. (In Russ.).
- Musina, 2006 — *Musina R.K.* Migracija i adaptacija naselenija Kazahstana v 1990-e gody [Migration and adaptation of the population of Kazakhstan in the 1990s]. Almaty: KazNU, 2006. 213 p. (In Russ.). (In Russ.).
- The National Population, 2000 — The National Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan in 1999. Astana: Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan for Statistics, 2000. 364 p. (In Russ.).
- Nysanbaev, 2001 — *Nysanbaev A.N.* Transformacionnye processy v gorodah Vostochnogo Kazahstana (1989–1999 gg.) [Transformation processes in the cities of East Kazakhstan (1989–1999)]. Almaty: KazNU, 2001. 271 p. (In Russ.).
- Poletaev, 2003 — *Poletaev V.P.* Intelligencija Kazahstana v 1990–e gody: krizis i adaptacija [The intelligentsia of Kazakhstan in the 1990s: crisis and adaptation]. Almaty: KISI, 2003. 186 p. (In Russ.).

- USSR population Census, 1990 — USSR population Census of 1989: Kazakh SSR. Moscow: Goskomstat, 1990. 271 p. (In Russ.).
- Population Census, 2000 — Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan in 1999. Astana: Agency of Statistics of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2000. 452 p. (In Russ.).
- The 1989 census, 2000 — The 1989 census of the Kazakh SSR. Alma Ata: Goskmstat of the Kazakh SSR, 1990. 377 p. Population Census of the Republic of Kazakhstan in 1999. Astana: Agency of Statistics of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2000. 288 p. (In Russ.).
- Population census of the Republic of Kazakhstan in 1989: results by regions and cities. Alma-Ata, 1990. 317 p. (In Russ.).
- Regions, 2000 — Regions of Kazakhstan. Statistical collection. – Astana: Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan for Statistics, 2000. 63 p. (In Russ.).
- Social development, 2000 — Social development of Kazakhstan: Statistical review 1991-1999. Astana: Agency of Statistics of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2000. 112 p. (In Russ.).
- Stepanova E.V., 2010 — *Stepanova E.V.* Social'naja politika i rynek truda v Vostochnom Kazahstane [Social policy and labor market in East Kazakhstan]. Ust-Kamenogorsk, 2010. 131 p. (In Russ.).
- Semipalatinsk City Department of Statistics, 2000 — Semipalatinsk City Department of Statistics. The main socio-demographic indicators of Semipalatinsk in 1989-1999. Semipalatinsk, 2000. 260 p. (In Russ.).
- Statistics of Kazakhstan, 2013 — Statistics of Kazakhstan. Socio-demographic problems of the population of the Republic of Kazakhstan (1990 – early 2000). 07/28/2013. <https://e-history.kz/ru/historical-education/show/12934.history.kz> (In Russ.).
- Socio-demographic processes, 2001 — Socio-demographic processes in Kazakhstan: 1990-2000. // Stud.kz . <https://stud.kz/ru/referat/show/29017> (In Russ.).
- Semipalatinsk nuclear test site, 2000 — Semipalatinsk nuclear test site: consequences of closure and socio-economic adaptation of the region. Almaty: Institute of Regional Studies, 2000. <https://e-history.kz/ru/news/show/50000557> (In Russ.).
- Department of Statistics of the East Kazakhstan region, 2001 — Department of Statistics of the East Kazakhstan region. Socio-economic and demographic indicators of the cities of the East Kazakhstan region (1990-2000): statistical collection. Ust-Kamenogorsk, 2001. 50 p. (In Russ.).
- Department of Statistics of the East Kazakhstan region. The city of Semipalatinsk, 2001 — Department of Statistics of the East Kazakhstan region. The city of Semipalatinsk: the main socio-economic and demographic characteristics (1989-1999). Semipalatinsk, 2001. 29 p. (In Russ.).
- Women of Kazakhstan, 2001 — Women of Kazakhstan: statistical collection. Astana, 2001. 87 p. (In Russ.).
- Yusupov, 1999 — *Yusupov T.A.* Malyj biznes Kazahstana v perehodnyj period [Small business in Kazakhstan during the transition period]. Almaty, 1999. 96 p. (In Russ.).

Литература

- Алексеевко, 1993 — *Алексеевко, А. Н.* Население Казахстана 1920–1990 гг. // А.Н. Алексеевко; НАН РК, Ин-т истории и этнологии им. Ч. Ч. Валиханова, Вост.-Кзахаст. Ин-т усовершенствования учителей. Алматы: Ғылым, 1993. 125 с.
- Алексеевко, 2001 — *Алексеевко, А.Н.* Миграционные и этнодемографические процессы в советский период / А.Н. Алексеевко, Н.Э. Масанов// История Казахстана: народы и культуры: учебное пособие / Н.Э. Масанов. Алматы: Дайк-пресс, 2001. С. 367–417.
- Асылбеков, Козина, 1995 — *Асылбеков М.Х., Козина В.В.* Демографические процессы современного Казахстана. Алматы: Атамұра, 1995. 128 с.
- Архив ВКУ им. С. Аманжолова, 1997 г. — Архив ВКУ им. С. Аманжолова Социологические опросы, 1997 г.
- Агентство Республики Казахстан по статистике. Перепись населения Республики Казахстан 1989 года: Итоги по областям и городам. Алма-Ата, 1990. 223 с.
- Агентство Республики Казахстан по статистике. Национальная перепись населения Республики Казахстан 1999 года: Итоги. Том 1. Астана, 2000. 204 с.
- Агентство Республики Казахстан по статистике. Демографический ежегодник Казахстана. 1990–2000 годы. Астана: Статистика Казахстана, 2001. 839 с.
- Агентство Республики Казахстан по статистике. Республики Казахстан: статистический сборник «Социально-экономическое развитие регионов» (1990–2000 гг.). – Астана: Статистика Казахстана, 2001. 720 с.
- Агентство Республики Казахстан по статистике. Уровень жизни населения Республики Казахстан (1995–2012 гг.): статистический сборник. Астана, 2013. 148 с.
- Аубакирова, др., 2023 — *Аубакирова Ж.С., Алексеевко А.Н., Столярова Э.О.* и др. Казахстанский город: социально-демографический взгляд. Усть-Каменогорск: «Медиа Альянс», 2023. 260 с.
- Бекжанова, 2012 — *Бекжанова С.К.* Этнодемографическая трансформация Восточного Казахстана. Семей, 2012. 127 с.
- Восточно-Казахстанская область: статистический ежегодник, 2000. Восточно-Казахстанская область: статистический ежегодник. Усть-Каменогорск, 2000. 153 с.

- Восточно–Казахстанское областное управление статистики. Население и социально-демографические процессы в Восточно–Казахстанской области (1989–1999 гг.): Статистический сборник. Усть–Каменогорск, 2000. 161 с.
- Восточно–Казахстанская правда, 1991–1999 — Восточно–Казахстанская правда. Выпуски 1991–1999 гг. С. 3–7.
- Гизатова, др., 2025 — *Гизатова А.И., Зобов А.М., Шарафутдинова А.Н., Жумагалиева М.Ю.* Исследование динамики основных показателей демографического развития и возрастной структуры. Статистика, учет и аудит. 2025. 3(98). С. 33–43 <https://doi.org/10.51579/1563-2415.2025.-3.03>
- Дьяков, 2008 — *Дьяков А.Н.* История Усть–Каменогорска: от индустриального роста к рыночным реформам. Усть–Каменогорск, 2008. 211 с.
- Демографический ежегодник Казахстана. 1990–2000 годы. Астана: Статистика Казахстана, 2001. 839 с.
- Женщины Казахстана: статистический сборник, 2001 — Женщины Казахстана: статистический сборник. Астана, 2001. 87 с.
- Карагусова, 2004. — *Карагусова Г.Т.* Демографическая ситуация в Казахстане в переходный период. Алматы: Институт демографии, 2004. 245 с.
- Куанганов, — *Куанганов Ф.Ш.* Проблемы демографических исследований в Казахстане // Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің хабаршысы. Экономика сериясы, 2024. 120 с.
- Козлова, 2002. — *Козлова Л.И.* Миграционные процессы в Казахстане 1990–х годов. Алматы: Наука, 2002. 194 с.
- Комитет по статистике Министерства национальной экономики Республики Казахстан. Труд и занятость населения Республики Казахстан. Статистический сборник. Астана, 1999. 251 с.
- Министерство образования РК. Отчёт о состоянии школьной сети Восточного Казахстана (1994–1999 гг.), Астана, 2000. 177 с.
- Мусина, 2006 — *Мусина Р.К.* Миграция и адаптация населения Казахстана в 1990–е годы. Алматы: КазНУ, 2006. 213 с.
- Национальная перепись населения Республики Казахстан 1999 года. Астана: Агентство Республики Казахстан по статистике, 2000. 364 с.
- Нысанбаев, 2001 — *Нысанбаев А.Н.* Трансформационные процессы в городах Восточного Казахстана (1989–1999 гг.). Алматы: КазНУ, 2001. 271 с.
- Полетаев, 2003 — *Полетаев В.П.* Интеллигенция Казахстана в 1990–е годы: кризис и адаптация. Алматы: КИСИ, 2003. 186 с.
- Перепись населения СССР 1989 года: Казахская ССР, 1990 — Перепись населения СССР 1989 года: Казахская ССР. М.: Госкомстат, 1990. 271 с.
- Перепись населения Республики Казахстан 1999 года, 2000. — Перепись населения Республики Казахстан 1999 года. – Астана: Агентство статистики РК, 2000. 452 с.
- Перепись населения Казахской ССР 1989 года. Алма–Ата: Госкомстат КазССР, 1990. 377 с.
- Перепись населения Республики Казахстан 1999 года. Астана: Агентство статистики РК, 2000. 288 с.
- Перепись населения Республики Казахстан 1989 года: итоги по областям и городам. Алма–Ата, 1990. 317 с.
- Регионы Казахстана. Статистический сборник. – Астана: Агентство РК по статистике, 2000. 63 с.
- Социальное развитие Казахстана: статистический обзор 1991–1999 гг., 2000. Социальное развитие Казахстана: статистический обзор 1991–1999 гг. Астана: Агентство статистики РК, 2000. 112 с.
- Степанова, 2010 — *Степанова Е.В.* Социальная политика и рынок труда в Восточном Казахстане. Усть–Каменогорск, 2010. 131 с.
- Семипалатинское городское управление статистики. Основные социально–демографические показатели г. Семипалатинска за 1989–1999 годы. Семипалатинск, 2000. 260 с.
- Статистика Казахстана. Социально–демографические проблемы народонаселения Республики Казахстан (1990 – начало 2000 гг.). 28.07.2013. <https://e-history.kz/ru/historical-education/show/12934.history.kz>.
- Социально–демографические процессы в Казахстане: 1990–2000 гг. // Stud.kz. <https://stud.kz/ru/referat/show/29017>
- Семипалатинский ядерный полигон: последствия закрытия и социально–экономическая адаптация региона. Алматы: Институт региональных исследований, 2000. <https://e-history.kz/ru/news/show/50000557>
- Управление статистики Восточно–Казахстанской области. Социально–экономические и демографические показатели городов Восточно–Казахстанской области (1990–2000 гг.): статистический сборник. Усть–Каменогорск, 2001. 50 с.
- Управление статистики Восточно–Казахстанской области. Город Семипалатинск: основные социально–экономические и демографические характеристики (1989–1999 гг.). Семипалатинск, 2001. 29 с.
- Хакимова, 2009 — *Хакимова Л.А.* Город и культура в постсоветский период. Алматы, 2009. 319 с.
- Юсупов, 1999 — *Юсупов Т.А.* Малый бизнес Казахстана в переходный период. Алматы, 1999. 96 с.

CONTENTS

HISTORIOGRAPHY AND SOURCE STUDIES

Abdimomynov N.T., Ospanova A.O., Djumashev A. FROM IDEOLOGY TO EMPIRICAL SYNTHESIS: THE EVOLUTION OF THE SOVIET RUSSIAN HISTORIOGRAPHY ON THE POLITICAL ECONOMY OF THE GOLDEN HORDE)	7
Kalfa M., Kozhakhan Zh., Beysegulova A. THE HISTORY OF RESEARCH ON THE LEATHER-PROCESSING CRAFT OF THE KAZAKH PEOPLE.....	23
Temirkhanov B., Mutaliyev M., Karakulak M. THE IMAGE OF KHOJA AHMET YASSAWI IN THE “KAZAKH” NEWSPAPER.....	36

HISTORY

Aldazhumanov K.S., Sandybayeva D.M., Abuov N.A. KAZAKHSTANIS IN CAPTIVITY, THE PARTISAN MOVEMENT, AND THE EUROPEAN RESISTANCE.....	46
Barin E., Satayeva B. THE CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE OF CULTURE AND LANGUAGE IN HISTORICAL DISCOURSE.....	62
Bulanov E., Tumabayev T., Safarov R. THE BASMACHI MOVEMENT IN CENTRAL ASIA: HISTORICAL ORIGINS AND REGIONAL PECULIARITIES.....	79
Zholdassuly T., Madelkhanova M. THE “KOSHCHI” UNION AND ITS ACTIVITIES IN THE KYZYLORDA REGION: A STUDY BASED ON ARCHIVAL MATERIALS (1920–1929).....	95
Kasymbekova M.A., Vecihi S.F.H. SOCIAL PREREQUISITES FOR THE EMERGENCE AND DEVELOPMENT OF SUFI ORDERS IN CENTRAL ASIA.....	111
Kokebayeva G.K., Bissembaiuly M. FORCED MIGRATION AND ETHNIC POLICY IN WESTERN BELARUS AND WESTERN UKRAINE IN THE 1940s.....	125
Kuanbay O.B., Apendiyev T.A., Ushkurbayeva A.E. DEMOGRAPHIC DYNAMICS OF TAJIKISTAN: FERTILITY, MORTALITY, TRENDS AND FORECASTS (1990–2023).....	139
Malikova S.Z., Tashagil A., Issakayeva A.M. AUL BAIS: THE POLICY OF CONFISCATION AND DEPORTATION CONDUCTED IN THE BULAEV DISTRICT.....	155
Muhametkhanuli N., Kurbanali Zh. POLITICAL RELATIONS BETWEEN THE HAN DYNASTY AND THE XIONGNU AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON NOMADIC CIVILIZATION.....	169
Mukhatova O.Kh., Seffat D. KAZAKH – RUSSIAN DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS OF THE LATE 17TH – EARLY 18TH CENTURIES	182
Myrzabekova R., Zhakupova G., Rakhmonkulova Z. FORCED LABOR SYSTEM IN THE TERRITORY OF THE KAZAKH SSR ON THE EXAMPLE OF JAPANESE PRISONERS OF WAR (1946–1956).....	195
Nurpeissova E., Baydaly R., Abil Ye. SOCIO-POLITICAL ACTIVITY OF ELDES OMAROV DURING THE YEARS OF CIVIL CONFRONTATION (1917–1919).....	211
Sarsembayeva G.A., Zhailaubayeva A.N., Ualtayeva A. SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROCESSES IN THE CITIES OF EAST KAZAKHSTAN IN THE 90s OF THE XXth CENTURY (BASED ON THE EXAMPLE OF UST-KAMENOGORSK AND SEMIPALATINSK).....	225
Sissenbayeva A., Kalybekova M.Ch., Abuov N.A. KAZAKHSTANI PRISONERS OF WAR IN NAZI CONCENTRATION CAMPS DURING THE SECOND WORLD WAR: POSSIBILITIES FOR RECONSTRUCTING INDIVIDUAL FATES ON THE BASIS OF ARCHIVAL CARD FILES.....	241
Teleuova E.T., Zhumadil A.K. THE FORMATION PATTERNS OF A HYBRID LEGAL MODEL IN THE 19TH CENTURY KAZAKH STEPPE.....	256

ETHNOLOGY/ANTHROPOLOGY

Assylbekova N., Kistaubayeva A., Dospanov O. KAZAKH ETHNOGRAPHIC COLLECTIONS OF THE KUNSTKAMERA: A MUSEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS.....	270
Musabayev K., Sizdikov B., Maldybek A. THE LOCALIZATION OF THE MEDIEVAL CITY OF SURI: BASED ON WRITTEN AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOURCES.....	282

МАЗМҰНЫ**ТАРИХНАМА ЖӘНЕ ДЕРЕКТАНУ**

Абдимомынов Н.Т., Оспанова А.А., Жумашев А.М. ИДЕОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ДОГМАЛАРДАН ЭМПИРИКАЛЫҚ СИНТЕЗГЕ: АЛТЫН ОРДАНЫҢ САЯСИ ЭКОНОМИЯСЫН ЗЕРТТЕУДЕГІ КЕҢЕСТІК ТАРИХНАМАНЫҢ ЭВОЛЮЦИЯСЫ.....	7
Калфа М., Қожахан Ж., Бейсеғұлова А. ҚАЗАҚ ХАЛҚЫНЫҢ ТЕРІ ӨНДЕУ КӘСІБІНІҢ ЗЕРТТЕЛУ ТАРИХЫ.....	23
Темирханов Б., Муталиев М., Каракулак М. ҚОЖА АХМЕТ ЯСАУИ БЕЙНЕСІНІҢ «ҚАЗАҚ» ГАЗЕТІНДЕГІ КӨРІНІСІ.....	36

ТАРИХ

Алдажұманов Қ.С., Сандыбаева Д.М., Абуов Н.А. ТҮТҚЫНҒА ТҮСКЕН ҚАЗАҚСТАНДЫҚТАР, ПАРТИЗАН ҚОЗҒАЛЫСЫ ЖӘНЕ ЕУРОПАЛЫҚ ҚАРСЫЛАСУ ҚОЗҒАЛЫСЫ.....	46
Барын Е., Сатаева Б. ТАРИХИ ДИСКУРСТАҒЫ МӘДЕНИЕТ ПЕН ТІЛДІҢ КОНСТРУКТИВТІК ҚЫЗМЕТІ.....	62
Бұланов Е.О., Тұмабаев Т.С., Сафаров Р.И. ОРТА АЗИЯДАҒЫ БАСМАШЫЛАР ҚОЗҒАЛЫСЫ: ТАРИХИ БАСТАУЛАРЫ МЕН АЙМАҚТЫҚ ЕРЕКШЕЛІКТЕРІ.....	79
Жолдасұлы Т., Маделханова М. «ҚОСШЫ» ОДАҒЫ ЖӘНЕ ОНЫҢ ҚЫЗЫЛОРДА ӨҢІРІНДЕГІ ҚЫЗМЕТІ: АРХИВТІК МАТЕРИАЛДАР БОЙЫНША ЗЕРТТЕУ (1920–1929 жж.).....	95
Касымбекова М.Ә., Вежихи С.Ф.Х. ОРТАЛЫҚ АЗИЯДА СОПЫЛЫҚ ТАРИҚАТТЫҢ ПАЙДА БОЛУЫ МЕН ДАМУЫНЫҢ ӘЛЕУМЕТТІК АЛҒЫШАРТТАРЫ.....	111
Көкебаева Г.К., Бисембайұлы М. 1940 ЖЫЛДАРДАҒЫ БАТЫС БЕЛАРУСЬ ПЕН БАТЫС УКРАИНАДАҒЫ МӘЖБҮРЛІ МИГРАЦИЯ ЖӘНЕ ЭТНИКАЛЫҚ САЯСАТ.....	125
Қуанбай О.Б., Әпендиев Т.Ә., Ушқурбаева А.Е. ТӘЖІКСТАННЫҢ ДЕМОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ ДИНАМИКАСЫ: ТУЫЛУ МЕН ӨЛІМ-ЖІТІМ КӨРСЕТКІШІ, ТЕНДЕНЦИЯЛАР ЖӘНЕ БОЛЖАМДАР (1990–2023).....	139
Маликова С.З., Ташағыл А., Исакаева А.М. АУЫЛ БАЙЛАРЫ: БУЛАЕВ АУДАНЫНДА ЖҮРГІЗІЛГЕН ТӘРКІЛЕУ ЖӘНЕ ЖЕР АУДАРУ САЯСАТЫ.....	155
Мұқаметханұлы Н., Құрбанәлі Ж. ХАНЬ ДИНАСТИЯСЫ МЕН ҒҰНДАРДЫҢ САЯСИ ҚАТЫНАСТАРЫ ЖӘНЕ ОНЫҢ КӨШПЕНДІЛЕР ӨРКЕНИЕТІНЕ ЫҚПАЛЫ.....	169
Мұхатова О.Х., Сеффат Д. XVII Ғ. СОҢЫ – XVIII Ғ. БАСЫНДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚ-ОРЫС ДИПЛОМАТИЯЛЫҚ ҚАРЫМ-ҚАТЫНАСТАРЫ.....	182
Мырзабекова Р., Жақупова Г., Байхоразова З. ҚАЗАҚ КСР ТЕРРИТОРИЯСЫНДАҒЫ МӘЖБҮРЛІ ЕҢБЕК ЖҮЙЕСІ ЖАПОН СОҒЫС ТҮТҚЫНДАРЫ МЫСАЛЫНДА (1946–1956).....	195
Нурпеисова Э.Т., Байдалы Р.Ж., Әбіл Е.А. ЕЛДЕС ОМАРОВТЫҢ АЗАМАТТЫҚ ҚАРСЫЛАСУ ЖЫЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ ҚОҒАМДЫҚ-САЯСИ ҚЫЗМЕТІ (1917–1919 жж.).....	211
Сарсембаева Г.А., Жайлаубаева А.Н., Уалтаева А.С. XX ҒАСЫРДЫҢ 90–Ы ЖЫЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ ШЫҒЫС ҚАЗАҚСТАН ҚАЛАЛАРЫНДАҒЫ ӘЛЕУМЕТТІК–ДЕМОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ ПРОЦЕСТЕР (ӨСКЕМЕН МЕН СЕМЕЙ МЫСАЛЫНДА).....	225
Сисенбаева А.А., Калыбекова М.Ч., Абуов Н.А. ЕКІНШІ ДҮНИЕЖҮЗІЛІК СОҒЫС ЖЫЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ КОНЦЛАГЕРЬЛЕРДЕГІ ҚАЗАҚСТАНДЫҚ ӘСКЕРИ ТҮТҚЫНДАР: АРХИВТІК КАРТОТЕКАЛАР НЕГІЗІНДЕ ОЛАРДЫҢ ТАҒДЫРЛАРЫН ҚАЙТА ҚАРАУ МҮМКІНДІКТЕРІ.....	241
Телеуова Э.Т., Жұмаділ А.Қ. XIX ҒАСЫРДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚ ДАЛАСЫНДАҒЫ ГИБРИДТІК ҚҰҚЫҚТЫҚ ҮЛГІНІҢ ҚАЛЫПТАСУ ЗАҢДЫЛЫҚТАРЫ.....	256

ЭТНОЛОГИЯ/АНТРОПОЛОГИЯ

Асылбекова Н., Кистаубаева А., Доспанов О. КУНСТКАМЕРАДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚ ЭТНОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ КОЛЛЕКЦИЯЛАРЫ: МУЗЕЙТАНУЛЫҚ ТАЛДАУ.....	270
Мұсабаев Қ., Сиздиқов Б., Малдыбек А. ОРТАҒАСЫРЛЫҚ СУРИ ҚАЛАСЫНЫҢ ЛОКАЛИЗАЦИЯСЫ: ЖАЗБА ЖӘНЕ АРХЕОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ДЕРЕКТЕР НЕГІЗІНДЕ.....	282

СОДЕРЖАНИЕ ИСТОРИОГРАФИЯ И ИСТОЧНИКОВЕДЕНИЕ

Абдимомынов Н.Т., Оспанова А.А., Жумашев А.М. «ОТ ИДЕОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ДОГМ К ЭМПИРИЧЕСКОМУ СИНТЕЗУ: ЭВОЛЮЦИЯ СОВЕТСКОЙ ИСТОРИОГРАФИИ ПОЛИТЭКОНОМИИ ЗОЛОТОЙ ОРДЫ».....	7
Калфа М., Кожахан Ж., Бейсегулова А. ИСТОРИЯ ИЗУЧЕНИЯ КОЖЕВЕННОГО ремесла КАЗАХСКОГО НАРОДА.....	23
Темирханов Б., Муталиев М., Каракулак М. ОБРАЗ ХОДЖИ АХМЕДА ЯСАВИ В ГАЗЕТЕ «КАЗАХ».....	36

ИСТОРИЯ

Алдажуманов К.С., Сандыбаева Д.М., Абуов Н.А. КАЗАХСТАНЦЫ В ПЛЕНУ, ПАРТИЗАНСКОМ ДВИЖЕНИИ И ЕВРОПЕЙСКОМ СОПРОТИВЛЕНИИ.....	46
Барын Е., Сагаева Б. КУЛЬТУРА И ЯЗЫК КАК КОНСТРУКТИВНЫЕ ФАКТОРЫ ИСТОРИЧЕСКОГО ДИСКУРСА.....	62
Буланов Е.О., Тумабаев Т.С., Сафаров Р.И. БАСМАЧЕСКОЕ ДВИЖЕНИЕ В СРЕДНЕЙ АЗИИ: ИСТОРИЧЕСКИЕ ИСТОКИ И РЕГИОНАЛЬНЫЕ ОСОБЕННОСТИ.....	79
Жолдасулы Т., Маделханова М. СОЮЗ «КОШЧИ» И ЕГО ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТЬ В КЫЗЫЛОРДИНСКОМ РЕГИОНЕ: ИССЛЕДОВАНИЕ НА ОСНОВЕ АРХИВНЫХ МАТЕРИАЛОВ (1920–1929 гг.).....	95
Касимбекова М.А., Вежихи С.Ф.Х. СОЦИАЛЬНЫЕ ПРЕДПОСЫЛКИ ВОЗНИКНОВЕНИЯ И РАЗВИТИЯ СУФИЙСКИХ ОРДЕНОВ В ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОЙ АЗИИ.....	111
Кокебаева Г.К., Бисембайулы М. ВЫНУЖДЕННАЯ МИГРАЦИЯ И ЭТНИЧЕСКАЯ ПОЛИТИКА В ЗАПАДНОЙ БЕЛАРУСИ И ЗАПАДНОЙ УКРАИНЕ В 1940-х ГОДАХ.....	125
Куанбай О.Б., Апендиев Т.А., Ушкурбаева А.Е. ДЕМОГРАФИЧЕСКАЯ ДИНАМИКА ТАДЖИКИСТАНА: РОЖДАЕМОСТЬ, СМЕРТНОСТЬ, ТЕНДЕНЦИИ И ПРОГНОЗЫ (1990–2023 ГГ.).....	139
Маликова С.З., Ташагыл А., Исакаева А.М. АУЛЬНЫЕ БАИ: ПОЛИТИКА КОНФИСКАЦИИ И ВЫСЕЛЕНИЕ ПРОВЕДЕННАЯ В БУЛАЕВСКОМ РАЙОНЕ.....	155
Мукаметханулы Н., Курбанали Ж. ПОЛИТИЧЕСКИЕ ОТНОШЕНИЯ ДИНАСТИИ ХАНЬ И ХУННУ И ИХ ВЛИЯНИЕ НА ЦИВИЛИЗАЦИЮ КОЧЕВНИКОВ.....	169
Мухатова О.Х., Сеффат Д. КАЗАХСКО – РУССКИЕ ДИПЛОМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ОТНОШЕНИЯ КОНЦА XVII – НАЧАЛА XVIII ВВ.....	182
Мырзабекова Р., Жакупова Г., Рахмонкулова З. СИСТЕМА ПРИНУДИТЕЛЬНОГО ТРУДА НА ТЕРРИТОРИИ КАЗАХСКОЙ ССР НА ПРИМЕРЕ ЯПОНСКИХ ВОЕННОПЛЕННЫХ (1946–1956 гг.).....	195
Нурпенсова Э.Т., Байдалы Р.Ж., Абиля Е.А. ОБЩЕСТВЕННО-ПОЛИТИЧЕСКАЯ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТЬ ЕЛДЕСА ОМАРОВА В ГОДЫ ГРАЖДАНСКОГО ПРОТИВОСТОЯНИЯ (1917–1919 гг.).....	211
Сарсембаева Г.А., Жайлаубаева А.Н., Уалтаева А.С. СОЦИАЛЬНО-ДЕМОГРАФИЧЕСКИЕ ПРОЦЕССЫ В ГОРОДАХ ВОСТОЧНОГО КАЗАХСТАНА В 90-е ГОДЫ XX ВЕКА (НА ПРИМЕРЕ УСТЬ-КАМЕНОГОРСКА И СЕМИПАЛАТИНСКА).....	225
Сисенбаева А.А., Калыбекова М.Ч., Абуов Н.А. ВОЕННОПЛЕННЫЕ-КАЗАХСТАНЦЫ В КОНЦЛАГЕРЯХ ВТОРОЙ МИРОВОЙ ВОЙНЫ: ВОЗМОЖНОСТИ РЕКОНСТРУКЦИИ СУДЕБ НА ОСНОВЕ АРХИВНЫХ КАРТОТЕК.....	241
Телеуова Э.Т., Жумадил А.К. ЗАКОНОМЕРНОСТИ ФОРМИРОВАНИЯ ГИБРИДНОЙ ПРАВОВОЙ МОДЕЛИ В КАЗАХСКОЙ СТЕПИ В XIX ВЕКЕ.....	256

ЭТНОЛОГИЯ/АНТРОПОЛОГИЯ

Асылбекова Н., Кистаубаева А., Доспанов О. КАЗАХСКИЕ ЭТНОГРАФИЧЕСКИЕ КОЛЛЕКЦИИ КУНСТКАМЕРЫ: МУЗЕЕВЕДЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ.....	270
Мусабаев К., Сиздиков Б., Малдыбек А. ЛОКАЛИЗАЦИЯ СРЕДНЕВЕКОВОГО ГОРОДА СУРИ: НА ОСНОВЕ ПИСЬМЕННЫХ И АРХЕОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ СВИДЕТЕЛЬСТВ.....	282

ASIAN JOURNAL “STEPPE PANORAMA”

2026. 13 (1)

Бас редактор:
Қабылдинов З.Е.

Компьютерде беттеген:
Копеева С.Ж.

Құрылтайшысы және баспагері:

Қазақстан Республикасы Ғылым және жоғары білім министрлігі Ғылым комитеті
Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК

Редакция мен баспаның мекен-жайы:

050010, Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28-үй
ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒК Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК

Журнал сайты: <https://ajspiie.com>

Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов ат. ТЖЭИ басылған:

050010 Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28-үй